



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6716
Title of the Manuscript:	BERNOULLI POLYNOMIALS AND CONVOLUTION SUMS
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	NO	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Page 3, Sentence 5. It isn't necessary " Using basic Theta functions". This is misprint.	1) Actually, another reviewer thinks it is a right sentence, so I leave it.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper is well written. All theorems and all proofs have been revised and corrected. So I recommend for publication in " British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science ".	